PRESENTATION OF A PETITION AND ITS REFERENCE TO THE COMMITTEE ON PETITIONS

Mr. SPEAKER.—Sri H Siddaveerappa has given notice that he wants to present a petition.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA (Harihar).—Sir, I present a petition signed by Sri G. Basappa and seven others regarding the issue of Gazette Notification reconstituting the Davangere Regulated Market Committee.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The petition is presented and it stands referred to the Committee on Petitions.

Memberes Representations

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಭಾನ್ಮರಶೆಟ್ಟಿ (ಕಾಪು).—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ನಾನು ಒಂದು ಪ್ರಿವಿಲೇಜ್ ಮೋಷನ್ ಕಳಿಸಿದ್ದೆ .....

Mr. SPEAKER.—I will look into it. I have got the papers; we will have it tomorrow. There is nothing urgent about it. Delay of a day or ten days is not going to change matters.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Sir, before the other subject on the Agenda is taken up, I would like to bring to your kind notice that I have been repeating again and seeking protection at your hands that quite a large number of interpellations that we have tabled have not seen the light of the day. We are already, I think, on the 16th or 17th day of the Session and there are hardly two weeks more. We do not know the position about the interpellations. Is it the intention of the Treasury Benches not to supply replies to some of the interpellations? I want to know from your office as to the day-I refer to my interpellations—on which I sent, how much time has been given for replies and whether they have been able to send any replies at all. I feel that some of the members have a trenchent feeling, designedly too, that their interpellations have not been answered.

Sri G. DUGGAPPA (Bharmasagara).—Sir, I had sent about 20 Starred Questions The questions have been admitted, but none have come up till now.

Sri K. H. PATIL (Gadag).—There are a number of questions sent by some of the members and they are not at all replied. The reply of the Treasury Bench is that the replies have not been received and we are at it. There are questions of local importance. Even such questions have not been taken up. The information regarding these questions is available in the Secretariat itself. Still they are evading. We cannot understand the intention of the Treasury Bench.

†Sri S. R. KANTHI (Minister for Law).—The reply has not been received by the Legislature Secretariat. More than 50 per cent of the answers have already been given. There are 338 questions which have

(SRI S. R. KANTHI)

been received from the members pending with the Departments. We are doing our best to give reply. We are replying 8 to 12 questions every day. We will try to get answers for the remaining questions pending with the Legislature Secretariat. As regards clarity, some of these questions are not right. The Government is expected to reply within 15 days of the receipt of the questions. It is the duty of the Government to ask for 15 days more if the information required is not available. They should write to the Legislature Secretariat to give them more time. Most of the questions to which answers are given by the Government will be at the hands of the Legislature Secretraiat.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎನ್. ಹುಚ್ಚಮಾಸ್ತಿ ಗೌಡ (ಹುಲಿಯೂರುದುರ್ಗ).—ಇಲ್ಲಿಯವರೆಗೆ ಪಾರ್ಲಿಮೆಂಟರಿ ಅಫೇರ್ಸ್ ಖಾತೆ ಹೊಂದಿರುವ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳಿರಲಿಲ್ಲ, ಈಗ ಕಂಠಿಯವರಿದ್ದಾರೆ, ಅವರು ದಕ್ಷರು, ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೂ ಬಹಳ ಬೇಗ ಉತ್ತರ ಒದಗಿಸಲು ಪ್ರಯತ್ನಮಾಡುತ್ತಾರೆಂದಿದ್ದೆ. ಆದರೆ ಲೋಕಲ್ ಮ್ಯಾಟರ್ಸ್ ಮುಂತಾವ್ದಕ್ಕೆ ಬೇಗ ಉತ್ತರ ಒದಗಿಸಿ ಸರಕಾರ ಇಕ್ಕಟ್ಟಿಗೆ ಸಿಕ್ಕುವ ಸಂದರ್ಭವಿರುವಾಗ ಅಂಥ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಬುದ್ಧಿವಂತಿಕೆಯಿಂದ ಬೇಗ ಉತ್ತರ ಒದಗಿಸುತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ. ಹಾಗೆ ಮಾಡದೆ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಕೂಡ ಬಹಳ ಬೇಗ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡುವಹಾಗೆ ಸರಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ತಿಳಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ನಾನು ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥನೆಮಾಡುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Sri S. R. KANTHI.—There are some inconvenient answers to some of the questions. I request him and some of the members to give me the list of questions and I will see that the Government answers them immediately.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—I want to bring to your kind notice the rule 39 and also rule 40. The rule 40 reads as follows :

> " The Government shall send a reply to a question for oral answer within fifteen days from the date of its receipt by the Government :
>
> " Provided that the date of receipt for the purpose of this rule shall be the date on which the orders of the Speaker in regard to the admissibility of the question have been received by the Government :
>
> " Provided further that where a reply to a question is delayed beyond the aforesaid fifteen days the Government shall communicate to the Speaker the reasons for the delay and request that the question may be taken up for answer on a subsequent date not later than thirty days from the date of its receipt by the Government."

If according to this rule you can delay for 30 days, does it mean, the Session will be delayed until all the replies are received ? I here and now give a warning that if the replies are not received in time, be prepared for an eventuality which we are going to show in the last week if you are going to dodge us like this.

Sri S. R. KANTHI.—I do not understand challenges being hurled like this. I understand the feeling of Hon'ble Members that answers must be given within 30 days. I have already said that I will try my level best to send answer to questions within 30 days.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Have you communicated about it to the Hon'ble Speaker ?

Sri S. R. KANTHI.—If the Government is not in a position to give answers within 15 days, I am insisting on the Departments that they must write to the Government and give information to the Legislature Secretariat and also write to me by saying that they have asked for time. Even in spite of the fact that answers are not given in time, such cases are far and few between. I can only assure the Hon'ble Members that they need not take any action whatsoever. What the Hon'ble Member is saying is somethig I cannot understand at all. The Hon'ble Member says that he is going to take action against the Government in the last week. Why all these challenges ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Because you cannot understand our feelings. You are wooden to the feelings of the Members.

Sri S. R. KANTHI.—We are going to rise only in the first week of August. That is what I feel. By that time, most of the replies will be sent to the Legislature Department.

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA (Hospet).—If the House agrees, I would like to place before it a suggestion : now that you are printing 12 questions every day, I would like to make a suggestion if the House agree, 20 may be printed every day and that the House should agree to dispose of one question on an average in 3 minutes.

Sri K. H. PATIL.—We would have agreed to Dr. Nagan Gowda. But our grievance is that the Hon'ble Parliamentary Minister is deliberately suppressing answers to our questions. It is not that they are not coming before the House. We are satisfied even if they come before the Hon'ble Speaker. We have charged him many a time that deliberate attempt is being made to suppress answers. Today he pleaded his incapacity that the departmental officers are not sending answers. Shall we charge the Ministry with deliberate attempt at suppressing the answers ? If he is incapable let him resign and make room for others who could answer questions promptly.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I am dealing with the whole matter. The Hon'ble Members may kindly hear me. I will give another 10 minutes to all the Members. There is no point in trying to prevent them from saying what they feel. But it should be done in a proper manner. There is a certain amount of undercurrent of discontent with regard to certain questions not being answered. This is not voiced for the first time that important questions which the Government feel inconvenient to answer, are not answered. They say that the Government is either deliberately delaying questions are to see that they are being cornered to such place or lapsed or not come up before the House at all.

Then, the further quesstion was, what was mentioned by Sri Siddaveerappa, that the rule provides that fifteen days should be given. This is a rule to which everybody has to bow down. But if it has not been answered, then the proviso is there that if it is not possible

(MR. SPEAKER)

for the Government to answer by that time, they must give reasons and ask for extension of time. But I have not been able to understand my friend Sri K. H. Patil—I know that in Mexico there is bull fight a nd charging.

Sri K. H. PATIL.—They are suppressing information by not furnishing answers. I charge them.....

Mr. SPEAKER.—The member has no right to charge them. The only way is no-confidence motion.

Sri S. SIVAPPA (Sravanabelgola).—Within fifteen days Government have to supply answers. In case they do not comply with the rule, has the Speaker no power to admonish the Government why they have not ent replies ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—You are the repository of the privileges of the Hcuse. He has been pleading that his officers or Departments have not sent replies. Is he so impotent as not to be able to whip them up and get the answers ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—The only remedy is for me to enforce the Rules. I will enforce the rules.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎನ್. ಹುಚ್ಚಮಾಸ್ತಿ ಗೌಡ.—ಹದಿನೈದು ದಿವಸಗಳೊಳಗೆ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರಕಳಿಸ ಬೇಕೆಂಬ ನಿಯಮ ಇದೆ ಎಂದು ಈಗ ಶ್ರೀಮಾನ್ ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪನವರು ಹೇಳಿದಂತೆ ಹದಿನೈದು ದಿವಸಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಉತ್ತರಗಳನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ ಇದ್ದರೆ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರ ಅಪ್ಪಣೆಯನ್ನು ಪಡೆದಿದ್ದಿರಾ ! ಹಾಗೆ 15 ದಿವಸಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಉತ್ತರಗಳನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸದೇ ಹೋದರೆ ಅವರ ಮೇಲೆ ಏನು ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೀರಿ ?

Sri S. R. KANTHI.—What really happens is, I have found in some cases that they have written to the Legislature Secretariat to extend the time. In some cases, they have not. I am asking them why they have not written in case they were not able to furnish answers and I have told them that they must in duty bound write to Legislature Secretariat to extend the time giving reasons.

Sir, all this talk about Parliamentary Affairs being incompetent and all that, is a matter of opinion. Of course, they are entitled to have their own opinion. One Hon'ble Member in heat.....

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—There is a vacuum in the rule. ಹದಿನೈದು ದಿವಸಗಳೊಳಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಇದೆ, ಹಾಗೆ ಒಂದು ವೇಳೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡದೇ ಹೋದರೆ ಅಂತಹವರ ಮೇಲೆ ಏನಾದರೂ ಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಇಲ್ಲಿರುವ ರೂಲ್ಸಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಅವಕಾಶವಿದೆಯೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ಕಂಠಿ.—ನಿಮ್ಮ ಸರಕಾರ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರು, ಇದು ನಮ್ಮ ಸರಕಾರ ಏನಲ್ಲ, ನಿಮ್ಮ ಸರಕಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ನೀವು ಇದ್ದರೂ ಕೂಡ ನೀವು ಹೆನ್ನಾಗಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ನಮ್ಮ ಸರಕಾರವೂ ಅದೇ ರೀತಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದೆ. Of course there are 40 per cent of questions still pending with the Government for which replies have to be sent. I am trying my level best and replies will be there.

Another thing is, please consider my suggestion, Sir. Everyday, you may put 20 questions and all the replies will be there. There is a

feeling in the Secretariat, they have not expressed openly—that since so many questions are still pending in the Legislature Secretariat, we can take a little more time to send replies. I think it is not correct attitude.

MR. SPEAKER.—The Hon'ble Minister should not mention it, even if he knows it.

SRI S. R. KANTHI.—It is an open secret, Sir. I will try my level best to get the answers. But if the Hon'ble Members get some satisfaction by saying that the Minister for Parliamentary Affairs is incompetent and impotent,—he also used that word—I have no objection. I have placed all the facts before you. I do agree that 40 per cent or so of the questions have to be answered by the Government. 60 per cent of the questions have been answered; a large number has been answered. Sir, you may put as many questions as possible so that the replies may be given as early as possible.

MR. SPEAKER.—I have got a grievance, and that grievance is against Sri S. Sivappa. I was not allowed to complete my sentence. Simply he gets up and disturbs. I will show him the report. I had not concluded. I was dealing with every one of the ideas. He goes on rattling. It does not look nice. I am only lodging that grievance so that he may take note of it now and not repeat it hereafter.

SRI B. PARAMESWARAPPA (Holalkere).—I have sent a notice that I am desirous of raising a discussion on matter of public importance.

MR. SPEAKER.—Is it on the agenda? Is he supplementing the agenda by himself?

SRI B. PARAMESWARAPPA.—On the 7th itself, I have sent it and it relates to cattle mortality owing to *galge* and *cattle* diseases.

MR. SPEAKER.—These are matters which the Members must make it convenient, if they desire to be answered, to tell me in my Chambers so that I can tackle them. If the members mention something here, I will say, I will look into it. It does not serve any purpose. I do not want to say like that when there are any answers. Answers were received for 450 out of 906 Questions. 168 have been answered. The last number is 168 one more or less which might have been held over. The remaining answers are ready. I will try to do one thing: Even with regard to those that have not been printed, I will allow the Members to look into them if they give importance to them and see that they have supplementaries on them in the House. I shall see if another four or five questions can be taken up like that. Otherwise, the Standing Order is that they should be printed in the order of the receipt of reply. Therefore, the Office has no discretion at all.

SRI H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—I think it should be in the order of receipt of the question as sent by the Members. That is why, 15 days' time is given.

MR. SPEAKER.—I will see what can be done. The rule will have to be revised directing to include even questions without answer.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—If the reply is not sent within 15 days after the receipt of the question, the fault is Government's; it is neither of your Office nor of ours.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಡಿ. ಜತ್ತಿ (ಆಹಾರ ಮತ್ತು ನಿತ್ಯೋಪಯೋಗಿ ಸರಬರಾಯಿ ಶಾಖೆಗಳ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು).—ಪ್ರತಿನಿತ್ಯ 15 ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬಹುದು, 25 ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬಹುದು. ಕಡಿಮೆ ಇದ್ದಾಗ ತಾವು ಯಾವ ಯಾವ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಬೇಕಾದರೂ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬಹುದು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪ.—ಯಾವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕೊಡಬೇಕು, ಯಾವ್ಯಾವುದು ಬೇಡ ಎಂದು ಅರಿಸಿ ಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಡಿ. ಜತ್ತಿ.—ಅದು ಸ್ಪೀಕರ್ ತೀರ್ಮಾನ ಮಾಡಲಿ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಎಂ. ಚನ್ನಬಸಪ್ಪ.—ನಮ್ಮ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಯವರು ಹೇಳಿದುದು ಬಹಳ ಪರಮಾಶ್ಚರ್ಯವನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿತು. ಅವರಿಗೆ ಯಾವ್ಯಾವುದು ಬೇಕು, ಅಂಥ 500 ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ ಬೇಕಾದರೂ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡಬಹುದು. ಯಾವುದು ಬೇಡವೋ, ಅದನ್ನು ಇಟ್ಟು ಬಿಡುವುದು. ಇದು ನರಿಯಾದ ನೀತಿಯಲ್ಲ. ನಾವು ರೂಲ್ಸ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಕೆಲಸ ನಡೆಸಿ ಎಂದು ಕೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇವೆಯೇ ವಿನಾ ರೂಲ್ಸ್‌ನ್ನು ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಮಾಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದು ಯೋಗ್ಯವಲ್ಲ. ನೀವು ರೂಲ್ ಬಿಟ್ಟೇ ಮಾಡುವುದು. ರೂಲ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ನಡೆಯುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ನಿಮಗೆ ಮನಸ್ಸಿಲ್ಲ. ಇದರ ಜೊತೆಗೆ ವಿನಾಕಾರಿದೆ ಎಂದರೆ, ಅನೇಕ ಮುಖ್ಯವಾದ ದೇಶಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ದೋಷದೋಷಗಳನ್ನು ಎತ್ತಿ ಹಿಡಿಯತಕ್ಕ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳು, ಅವು ಒಂದು ಕೂಡ ಕಣ್ಣಿಗೆ ಬೀಳುತ್ತಾ ಇಲ್ಲ.

ಒಬ್ಬ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು.—ಅದು ಸುಳ್ಳು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಎಂ. ಚನ್ನಬಸಪ್ಪ.—" ಸುಳ್ಳು ", ಎನ್ನತಕ್ಕದ್ದು ಅಪಥ್ಯ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ತಾವು ಅವರಿಗೆ ಮೇಂಡೇಟ್ ಕೊಡಬೇಕು. ರೂಲ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ನಡೆಯುವುದಾದರೆ, ನಡೆಯಿರಿ, ಇಲ್ಲದಿದ್ದರೆ ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಹೋಗಿ.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಕೊನೆಗೆ " ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಹೋಗಿ " ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದಿರಿ. ಅದು ಯಾವ ರೂಲ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ?

(*Laughter*)

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಎಂ. ಚನ್ನಬಸಪ್ಪ.—ಅವರ ನೈತಿಕ ಮಟ್ಟದ ಆಧಾರದ ಮೇಲೆ ಸೂಚನೆ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಷ್ಟೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋವಾಲಗೌಡ (ತೀರ್ಥಹಳ್ಳಿ).—ಈ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಉತ್ತರವನ್ನು ಒದಗಿಸುವ ಮತ್ತು ಉತ್ತರವನ್ನು ಕೊಡುವ ರೀತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅಸಮಾಧಾನ ಅತ್ಯಪ್ತಿ ಇದೆ. ಈ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ತಾವೇ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ, 15 ದಿವಸಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡಬಹುದು ಎಂದು. ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗದೆ ಹೋದರೆ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತಂದು ಕಾರಾವಕಾಶ ಕೊಟ್ಟು, ಏಳಂಬ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣ ಕೊಡಬೇಕು. ಈ ಒಂದು ನಿಯಮವನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟವಾಗಿ ನಿರ್ಲಕ್ಷ್ಯ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ, ಕಡೆಗಣಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಈಗಿರತಕ್ಕ ಅಧಿಕಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಗೆ ಮಾಡಿದಾಗ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ಏನು ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂಬುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈಗ ರೂಲ್ಸ್ ಕಮಿಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇನ್ನು ಚರ್ಚೆ ಮಾಡುವಾಗ ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ನಾವು ಕೆಲವು ವಿಷಯಗಳನ್ನು ಪ್ರಸ್ತಾಪಿಸಬಹುದು. ಶ್ರೀ ದುಗ್ಗಪ್ಪನವರು ಹೇಳಿದ ಹಾಗೆ 20 ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕಳಿಸಿದ್ದರೂ ಎಷ್ಟು ದಿವಸ ಆದರೂ ಉತ್ತರ ಬರದಿದ್ದ ಪಕ್ಷದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಉದ್ದೇಶಪೂರ್ವಕವಾಗಿ, ಕೆಲವು ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡದಿರುವುದರಿಂದ, ತಡೆಹಿಡಿದಿದ್ದಾರೆಂದು ಅಪಾದನೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅದು ತೀರ ಈ ಸಭೆಗೆ ತಪ್ಪಿ ನಡೆದಂತೆ ಅಲ್ಲದೆ, ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರಿಗೆ ನೇರವಾಗಿ ತಪ್ಪಿ ನಡೆದಂತೆ ಆಗುತ್ತದೆ. ನಾವು ತಿಳಿದುಕೊಂಡಿರುವುದು, ಉತ್ತರವೆಲ್ಲಾ ರೆಜಿಸ್ಟ್ರೇಚರಿಗೆ ಬಂದಮೇಲೆ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ಪಟ್ಟಿ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾರೆಂದು, ಅದು ಇಲ್ಲದೆ ಹೋದರೆ ಅದು ದೊಡ್ಡ ದೋಷ. ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಇಷ್ಟು ಬೇಕು, ಬೇಡ ಎಂದು 12 ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಹಾಕಿ, ಅವರು ಏನಾದರೂ ಉತ್ತರಗಳನ್ನು ತಮ್ಮ ಕೈಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇಟ್ಟುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಾದರೆ, ಆಗ ನಾವು ಬಹಳ ಗಂಭೀರವಾಗಿ ಇದನ್ನು ವಿಚಾರ ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಇದರಿಂದ ಐದು ವರ್ಷ ಪ್ರಯತ್ನ ಪಟ್ಟರೂ ಈ ಸಭೆಗೆ ಬರದಂತೆ ಮಾಡುವ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಸಿಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಮೊದಲು ಇವಕ್ಕೆ ಆದಷ್ಟು ಸಮರ್ಪಕವಾದ ಉತ್ತರಗಳು ಬರುವಂತೆ ಮಾಡಬೇಕು ಮತ್ತು ಯಾವ ದಾಕ್ಷಿಣ್ಯವೂ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಈ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ತೋರಿಸಬೇಕಾದ್ದಿಲ್ಲ. ಇದು ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ವಿಷಯ. ಇದೇನು ಮನೆವಾರ್ತೆಯ ವಿಷಯವಲ್ಲ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅದನ್ನು ತಾವು ಕೊಡಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೇಳಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I will make an announcement on all these matters in a couple of days.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ಕಂಠಿ.—ಅವರು ಹೇಳುತ್ತಾರೆ, ಸರಿಯಾದ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು. ಅಂಥ ಯಾವ್ಯಾವ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿವೆ, ಅವುಗಳ ಒಂದು ಪಟ್ಟಿಯನ್ನು ತರಿಸಿಕೊಡಲಿ, ಅದನ್ನು ನಾವು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸುತ್ತೇವೆ.

2-30 P.M.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪ.—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ನನ್ನ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ನೇರವಾಗಿ ಉತ್ತರ ತರಿಸಿಕೊಡ ಬೇಕೆಂದು ತಮ್ಮ ಮೂಲಕ ಕೇಳಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ. ನನ್ನ ಯಾವ ಯಾವ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳನ್ನು ತಾವು ಅಂಗೀಕಾರ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರೋ, ಆ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಜಾಗ್ರತೆ ಉತ್ತರವನ್ನು ತರಿಸಿಕೊಡಿ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—They that nourish a grievance, may give me a list.

MYSORE PROHIBITION (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1967

Motion to consider

Sri RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE (Minister for Finance and Planning).—Sir, I beg to move :

"That the Mysore Prohibition (Amendment) Bill, 1967, be taken into consideration."

Mr. SPEAKER.—Motion moved :

"That the Mysore Prohibition (Amendment) Bill, 1967, be taken into consideration."

Sri RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE.—Sir, this is a small Bill, a Bill to amend the present Prohibition Act. It seeks to amend six sections in the present Prohibition Act—Sections 1, 2, 17, 51, 56, 129 and the Schedule. As has been stated in the Statement of Objects and Reasons, the object of this amendment is two-fold ; firstly, to fulfil certain requirements as suggested by Government of India when the President's assent was sought, there has been some lacuna and therefore, it is sought that those lacunae are going to be corrected. The second object is, to relax prohibition law in certain specified areas.

Sir, hon'ble members might remember that the current year is celebrated as International Tourist Year. Mysore State has many places of tourist attraction. It is the policy of Government of India and also the Government of Mysore to give all facilities to tourists, particularly, foreign tourists, so that we can build up tourism as an industry.

Sir, I will come to amendment to section 1 a little later and I would like to briefly refer to the other amendments.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—If the hon'ble Minister can tolerate a little intervention, it is mentioned in the Statement of Objects and Reasons : "certain observations of the Government of India relating to